Attorney's Docket No.: 38481-8044.US01 PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office a	ddress and citizenshi	p are as stated below, next to my	name.	
		r of the subject matter which is clawice REQUEST COMMON OBJ		d for which a
the specification of which				
Ur or	n (MM/DD/YYYY) lited States Application	plication Number	·	
	,	(if applicab	le)	
I hereby state that I have reincluding the claim(s), as a		and the contents of the above-idendment referred to above.	ntified spe	ecification,
I acknowledge the duty to defined in Title 37, Code of		n known to me to be material to ρ , Section 1.56.	oatentabil	ity as
foreign application(s) for pa	atent or inventor's cer nt or inventor's certifi	le 35, United States Code, Sectio tificate listed below and have also cate having a filing date before th	o identifie	d below any
Prior Foreign Application(s)		Priori <u>Claim</u>	
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit uprovisional application(s) li		States Code, Section 119(e) of a	iny United	d States
60/457,305	March 24, 20	003		
Application Number		- MM/DD/YYYY)		
Application Number	(Filing Date	- MM/DD/YYYY)		

application(s) listed below a not disclosed in the prior U Title 35, United States Cod me to be material to patent	and, insofar as the subject matter onited States application in the marke, Section 112, I acknowledge the ability as defined in Title 37, Codestween the filing date of the prior a	, Section 120 of any United States of each of the claims of this application is ner provided by the first paragraph of duty to disclose all information known to of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 pplication and the national or PCT
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status patented, .pending, abandoned
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)	Status patented, pending, abandoned
part of this document) as m substitution and revocation Trademark Office connecte Send correspondence to	ny respective patent attorneys and to prosecute this application and ded herewith.	to transact all business in the Patent and , Patent – SEA, P.O. Box 1247, Seattle
statements made on info statements were made wi punishable by fine or imp	rmation and belief are believed that the knowledge that willful falorisonment, or both, under Sect	wn knowledge are true and that all to be true; and further that these lse statements and the like so made are ion 1001 of Title 18 of the United States ize the validity of the application or any
Full Name of Sole/First Inv	entor Maria Theresa Barnes-Leor	1
Inventor's Signature		Date
Residence	Citi:	zenship
(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address		

Inventor's Signature		Date	
Residence		Citizenship	
	(City, State)	Citizenship	(Country)
Post Office Address _			
_			
Full Name of Third/Join	nt Inventor <u>Shailendra G</u> ક	arg	
Inventor's Signature		Date	
Residence		Citizenship	
	(City, State)	Citizenship	(Country)
Post Office Address _			
_			
Full Name of Fourth/Jo	oint Inventor <u>Ramaswam</u> y	y Sundararajan	
Inventor's Signature		Date	
		Citizenship	
Residence		Onizonsinp	
Residence	(City, State)	Oldzensinp	(Country)

APPENDIX A

STEVEN D. LAWRENZ, Registration No. 37,376 CHUN M. NG, Registration No. 36,878 MAURICE J. PIRIO, Registration No. 33,273 CARINA M. TAN, Registration No. 45,769 JAMES A.D. WHITE, Registration No. 43,985 all affiliated with Perkins Coie LLP.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.